

Please select a subject
2017_4222_Honours_Research_Project

Please select an item requiring peer assessment
Semester 1 - Milestone report, Conference day

Please select your group
F17A01

This is me:
Samuel L Jackson

Submit

Complete evaluation and click submit when ready. Please wait a few moments after clicking. A confirmation message will appear here and an email will be sent to your account.

1. Select your course


2. Select the assessment item requiring a peer evaluation. Note there may be more than one evaluation for a subject.

3. Select your group.

4. Select your name from the group. There is no login authentication used, but a confirmation email will be sent. In other words, if someone tries to submit a false evaluation under your name, you will see an email and can contact the subject coordinator.

5. Do the evaluation (see below).

6. Click here AFTER you have done the evaluation



- Make sure to include comments specific to each person (including yourself).
- Comments are invaluable for determining whether your evaluation is reasonable.
- Comments are not divulged to other students. Only the course coordinator.
- There is no automatic method of dispute resolution. If the course-coordinator needs to resolve a matter, they will contact you or the group for a meeting.
- Please give detailed comments, always.
- Even if you are happy with all members, please give comments. If 2 other members have a dispute, your comments can help to corroborate the account of another member in the group.


Expand comment box here.

- The scoring is a relative system. There is no benefit in giving everybody "above average". The overall group average is standardised back to 50 anyway.
- If you are happy with an equal contribution from all members, give 50-50-50-50 to all members.
- Be "fair" to others. There is a system of checks to detect issues of group disharmony (free riders, saboteurs, over-rates and non-contributors). If you are interested, see the [sparkplus manual](#) for detailed explanation of algorithms used.
- Any score reported here should be backed-up by comments you have made.

ALL GROUP MEMBERS MUST COMPLETE AN EVALUATION. IF YOU ARE SILENT, IT CREATES COMPLICATIONS WHEN TRYING TO FIGURE OUT IF OTHER GROUP MEMBERS ARE TELLING THE TRUTH.


Example Peer Evaluation.

Peer evaluation of yourself:




I definitely worked harder than the rest of the group. I coordinated meetings, I gathered all of the key resources at the start of the project and I came in on the weekend two weeks to make sure we had it all polished for submission.

Peer evaluation of John Travolta:



John was always late. He was also a bit reckless with some of the edits he made. He mostly did his work, but often he would cut corners which meant that Uma or I had to pick up the slack.

Peer evaluation of Uma Thurman:



Uma pulled her weight. She attended all meetings and gave excellent input at the ideas stage. She also developed the preliminary model which we later refined.